

Office of Institutional Research
Fall 2012 - St. John's Student Course Evaluations

Overview:

-The report starts with a graph representing **overall indicators**, which are the average scores of various questions on the course evaluation.

-Section A:	“Course Organization and Planning”	(questions 1-3)
-Section B:	“Communication”	(questions 4-6)
-Section C:	“Faculty-Student Interaction”	(questions 7-9)
-Section D:	“Assignments, Exams, and Grading”	(questions 10-14)
-Section E:	“Instructional Methods”	(questions 15-16)
-Section F:	“Course Outcomes”	(questions 17-19)
-Section G:	“Student Effort and Involvement”	(questions 20-22)
-Section H:	“Course Difficulty, Work Load, and Pace”	(questions 23-25)
-Section I:	“Overall Evaluation”	(question 26)

-Each question is then individually assessed using the following scales. The percentages next to the name of the college/unit represent the response rate.

-The following are the value labels for the aggregated results pertaining to each question:

Value Labels

Questions 1-22:

- 5 = Strongly Agree
- 4 = Agree
- 3 = Somewhat Agree
- 2 = Disagree
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

Question 23 - Course Difficulty:

- 5 = Very Difficult
- 4 = Difficult
- 3 = About Right
- 2 = Easy
- 1 = Very Easy

Question 24 - Course Pace:

- 5 = Very Fast
- 4 = Fast
- 3 = Just about right
- 2 = Slow
- 1 = Very Slow

Question 25 - Study Hours:

- 5 = 8 or more hours
- 4 = 6 to 7
- 3 = 4 to 5
- 2 = 2 to 3
- 1 = Under 1 hour

Question 26 - Overall Evaluation:

- 5 = Excellent
- 4 = Good
- 3 = Fair
- 2 = Poor
- 1 = Very Poor










For any questions related to course evaluations, please contact:

Steven W. Glogocheski
Associate Director of Academic Assessment
718-990-6998
glogochs@stjohns.edu

Fall 2012 – UG College of Business (38%)




No. of responses = 1888

Overall indicators


A. Course Organization and Planning		av.=4.26 dev.=1.02
B. Communication		av.=4.16 dev.=1.13
C. Faculty-Student Interaction		av.=4.21 dev.=1.09
D. Assignments, Exams, and Grading		av.=4.28 dev.=1.04
E. Instructional Methods		av.=4.13 dev.=1.14
F. Course Outcomes		av.=4.09 dev.=1.2
G. Student Effort and Involvement		av.=4.47 dev.=0.78
H. Course Difficulty, Work Load, and Pace		av.=2.95 dev.=0.85
I. Overall Evaluation		av.=3.96 dev.=1.12

Survey Results


A. Course Organization and Planning

1. The course goals, objectives, and grading procedures were clearly explained.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1825 av.=4.23 dev.=1.04 ab.=1
2. Lectures and other class activities were well organized.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1819 av.=4.15 dev.=1.1 ab.=5
3. The instructor was regularly punctual and regularly kept the class for the full class time.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1812 av.=4.41 dev.=0.94 ab.=9


B. Communication

4. The instructor's presentations were clear and understandable.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1816 av.=4.09 dev.=1.17 ab.=5
--	----------------	--	-------------------	--

5. The instructor adequately explained abstract or complex materials so that I understood it.




6. The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter.




C. Faculty-Student Interaction


7. The instructor encouraged student discussion and participation.



8. The instructor satisfactorily answered students' questions.




9. The instructor was accessible to students during office hours and by email.




D. Assignments, Exams, and Grading


10. The instructor asked questions on the course material.




11. Students were tested on material covered in the course.




12. The instructor returned students' work in a reasonable amount of time.



13. The instructor provided sufficient feedback on tests and papers.




14. Tests, papers, and other assignments were graded fairly.




E. Instructional Methods

15. The instructor made appropriate use of technology in teaching.




16. Students were actively involved in class discussion, group work, and other classroom activities.




F. Course Outcomes


17. This course has increased my understanding of the subject matter.



18. This course increased my ability to think independently and critically.




19. I would recommend this instructor to other students.




G. Student Effort and Involvement


20. I attended class regularly.



21. I read the assignments and was well prepared for every class.




22. I spent a sufficient amount of time on assignments and research papers.





H. Course Difficulty, Work Load, and Pace

23. For my preparation and ability, this course was





24. For me, the pace at which the course materials were covered was



n=1817
av.=3.22
dev.=0.66


25. In a typical 7-day week, how many hours did you spend preparing for this course (studying, reading, doing homework or other academic activities)?



n=1809
av.=2.45
dev.=1.02

I. Overall Evaluation


26. Rate the quality of this course as it contributed to your learning:



n=1803
av.=3.96
dev.=1.12

K. Student Information

30. For you, this course is



n=1816

31. Your class level:




n=1817

32. Your sex:



n=1703

33. What grade do you expect for this course?



n=1814
av.=6.54
dev.=1.69

Profile

Compilation: **Fall 2012 – UG College of Business (38%)**

Values used in the profile line: Mean

A. Course Organization and Planning

1. The course goals, objectives, and grading procedures were clearly explained.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1825	av.=4.23	md=5.00	dev.=1.04
2. Lectures and other class activities were well organized.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1819	av.=4.15	md=5.00	dev.=1.10
3. The instructor was regularly punctual and regularly kept the class for the full class time.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1812	av.=4.41	md=5.00	dev.=0.94

B. Communication

4. The instructor's presentations were clear and understandable.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1816	av.=4.09	md=5.00	dev.=1.17
5. The instructor adequately explained abstract or complex materials so that I understood it.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1809	av.=4.07	md=5.00	dev.=1.19
6. The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1810	av.=4.31	md=5.00	dev.=1.03



C. Faculty-Student Interaction

7. The instructor encouraged student discussion and participation.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1804	av.=4.18	md=5.00	dev.=1.10
8. The instructor satisfactorily answered students' questions.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1811	av.=4.20	md=5.00	dev.=1.10
9. The instructor was accessible to students during office hours and by email.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1725	av.=4.25	md=5.00	dev.=1.07




D. Assignments, Exams, and Grading

10. The instructor asked questions on the course material.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1788	av.=4.31	md=5.00	dev.=1.00
11. Students were tested on material covered in the course.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1751	av.=4.31	md=5.00	dev.=1.02
12. The instructor returned students' work in a reasonable amount of time.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1753	av.=4.36	md=5.00	dev.=0.99
13. The instructor provided sufficient feedback on tests and papers.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1757	av.=4.12	md=5.00	dev.=1.17
14. Tests, papers, and other assignments were graded fairly.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1758	av.=4.29	md=5.00	dev.=1.05




E. Instructional Methods

15. The instructor made appropriate use of technology in teaching.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1720	av.=4.24	md=5.00	dev.=1.07
16. Students were actively involved in class discussion, group work, and other classroom activities.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1775	av.=4.02	md=4.00	dev.=1.20




F. Course Outcomes

17. This course has increased my understanding of the subject matter.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1812	av.=4.16	md=5.00	dev.=1.16
18. This course increased my ability to think independently and critically.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1785	av.=4.07	md=4.00	dev.=1.16
19. I would recommend this instructor to other students.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1803	av.=4.05	md=5.00	dev.=1.28

G. Student Effort and Involvement

20. I attended class regularly.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1808	av.=4.63	md=5.00	dev.=0.69
21. I read the assignments and was well prepared for every class.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1787	av.=4.36	md=5.00	dev.=0.85
22. I spent a sufficient amount of time on assignments and research papers.	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=1762	av.=4.42	md=5.00	dev.=0.82

H. Course Difficulty, Work Load, and Pace

23. For my preparation and ability, this course was	Very Difficult		Very Easy	n=1813	av.=3.17	md=3.00	dev.=0.86
24. For me, the pace at which the course materials were covered was	Very Fast		Very Slow	n=1817	av.=3.22	md=3.00	dev.=0.66
25. In a typical 7-day week, how many hours did you spend preparing for this course (studying,	8 or more hours		Under 1 hour	n=1809	av.=2.45	md=2.00	dev.=1.02

I. Overall Evaluation

26. Rate the quality of this course as it contributed to your learning:	Excellent		Very Poor	n=1803	av.=3.96	md=4.00	dev.=1.12
---	-----------	---	-----------	--------	----------	---------	-----------

K. Student Information

33. What grade do you expect for this course?	A		Below C	n=1814	av.=6.54	md=7.00	dev.=1.69
---	---	---	---------	--------	----------	---------	-----------

Profile

Compilation: Fall 2012 – UG College of Business (38%)

