### Office of Institutional Research

### **Spring 2014 – Student Evaluation of Teaching**

### **Overview**

-The following are the value labels for the aggregated results pertaining to each question:

## **Value Labels**

### **Questions 1.1 - 1.11**

- 5 Strongly Agree
- 4 Agree
- 3 Somewhat Agree
- 2 Disagree
- 1 Strongly Disagree

## **Question 1.12 - Course Pace**

- 5 Very Fast
- 4 Fast
- 3 Just about right
- 2 Slow
- 1 Very slow

### **Question 1.13 – Professor's Teaching**

- 5 Excellent
- 4 **–** Good
- 3 Fair
- 2 Poor
- 1 Very poor

For any questions related to the evaluations, please contact:

Steven W. Glogocheski Associate Director of Academic Assessment 718-990-6998 glogochs@stjohns.edu


# Spring 2014 - GR School of Education (58%)


No. of responses = 996

Survey Results



### Student Evaluation of Teaching The course goals and grading procedures were clear. n=989 Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree av.=4.26 dev.=0.95 ab.=7 Lectures and other class activities were well n=983 Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree av.=4.2 dev.=1 ab.=11 organized. n=981 av.=4.3 dev.=0.94 ab.=13 The instructor's presentations were clear and Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree understandable. The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in n=991 Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree av.=4.6 dev.=0.75 ab.=3 the subject matter. The instructor satisfactorily answered students' n=991 Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree av.=4.42 dev.=0.92 ab.=1 questions. n=939 av.=4.46 dev.=0.82 The instructor was accessible to students for extra Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree help. The instructor responded to students' work in a n=978 Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree





# Profile


\_\_\_\_\_

Compilation:

Spring 2014 - GR School of Education (58%)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

### Student Evaluation of Teaching



# **Profile**

\_\_\_\_\_

Compilation: Spring 2014 - GR School of Education (58%)

Comparative line:

Compilation: Spring 2014 - GR St. John's University (55%)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

#### Student Evaluation of Teaching

The course goals and grading procedures were clear.

Lectures and other class activities were well organized.

The instructor's presentations were clear and understandable.

The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter.

The instructor satisfactorily answered students' questions.

The instructor was accessible to students for extra help.

The instructor responded to students' work in a reasonable amount of time.

Tests, papers, and/or other assignments were graded fairly.


This course increased my understanding of the subject matter.

This course increased my ability to think critically.

I would recommend this instructor to other students.

Rate the pace at which the course material was taught.

Rate this professor's teaching.



n=989 av=4.26 md=5.00 dev=0.95 n=3160 av=4.20 md=5.00 dev=1.00 n=3150 av=4.20 md=5.00 dev=1.00 n=3150 av=4.24 md=5.00 dev=1.00 n=981 av=4.29 md=5.00 dev=0.97 n=3160 av=4.29 md=5.00 dev=0.97 n=3161 av=4.42 md=5.00 dev=0.92 n=3161 av=4.42 md=5.00 dev=0.92 n=3161 av=4.42 md=5.00 dev=0.92 n=3028 av=4.44 md=5.00 dev=0.92 n=3028 av=4.44 md=5.00 dev=0.95 n=3085 av=4.44 md=5.00 dev=0.95 n=3085 av=4.44 md=5.00 dev=0.95 n=989 av=4.44 md=5.00 dev=0.95 n=989 av=4.44 md=5.00 dev=0.96 n=989 av=4.43 md=5.00 dev=0.96 n=986 av=4.44 md=5.00 dev=0.96 n=986 av=4.27 md=5.00 dev=0.96 n=986 av=4.27 md=5.00 dev=0.99 n=987 av=4.26 md=5.00 dev=0.99 n=987 av=4.26 md=5.00 dev=1.01 n=3154 av=4.29 md=5.00 dev=1.07 n=3161 av=3.29 md=3.00 dev=0.72 n=3161 av=3.29 md=3.00 dev=0.93 n=3163 av=4.29 md=5.00 dev=0.93 n=3163 av=4.29 md=5.00 dev=0.93 n=3163 av=4.29 md=5.00 dev=0.93 n=3163 av=4.29 md=5.00 dev=0.93