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Overview

-The following are the value labels for the aggregated results pertaining to each question:

Value Labels

Questions 1.1 - 1.11

5 – Strongly Agree
4 – Agree
3 – Somewhat Agree
2 – Disagree
1 – Strongly Disagree

Question 1.12 – Course Pace

5 – Very Fast
4 – Fast
3 – Just about right
2 – Slow
1 – Very slow

Question 1.13 – Professor’s Teaching

5 – Excellent
4 – Good
3 – Fair
2 – Poor
1 – Very poor

For any questions related to the evaluations, please contact:

Steven W. Glogocheski
Associate Director of Academic Assessment
718-990-6998
glogochs@stjohns.edu
Survey Results

Student Evaluation of Teaching

The course goals and grading procedures were clear.

Lectures and other class activities were well organized.

The instructor's presentations were clear and understandable.

The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter.

The instructor satisfactorily answered students' questions.

The instructor was accessible to students for extra help.

The instructor responded to students' work in a reasonable amount of time.

Tests, papers, and/or other assignments were graded fairly.

This course increased my understanding of the subject matter.
This course increased my ability to think critically.

I would recommend this instructor to other students.

Rate the pace at which the course material was taught.

Rate this professor's teaching.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Strongly Agree %</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree %</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>av.</th>
<th>md</th>
<th>dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The course goals and grading procedures were clear.</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>5063</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lectures and other class activities were well organized.</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>5051</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor's presentations were clear and understandable.</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>5039</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter.</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>5053</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor satisfactorily answered students' questions.</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>5031</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor was accessible to students for extra help.</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>4806</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor responded to students' work in a reasonable amount of time.</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>4996</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tests, papers, and/or other assignments were graded fairly.</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>5010</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This course increased my understanding of the subject matter.</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>5039</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This course increased my ability to think critically.</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>4994</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would recommend this instructor to other students.</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>4994</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate the pace at which the course material was taught.</td>
<td>Very fast</td>
<td>Very slow</td>
<td>5037</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate this professor's teaching.</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>5049</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Profile

Compilation: Spring 2014 - UG College of Professional Studies (39%)

Comparative line:
Compilation: Spring 2014 - UG St. John's University (42%)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

Student Evaluation of Teaching

The course goals and grading procedures were clear.

Lectures and other class activities were well organized.

The instructor's presentations were clear and understandable.

The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter.

The instructor satisfactorily answered students' questions.

The instructor was accessible to students for extra help.

The instructor responded to students' work in a reasonable amount of time.

Tests, papers, and/or other assignments were graded fairly.

This course increased my understanding of the subject matter.

This course increased my ability to think critically.

I would recommend this instructor to other students.

Rate the pace at which the course material was taught.

Rate this professor's teaching.

n=5063 av.=4.36 md=5.00 dev.=0.91
n=20214 av.=4.26 md=5.00 dev.=0.99

n=5051 av.=4.30 md=5.00 dev.=0.96
n=20145 av.=4.19 md=5.00 dev.=1.04

n=5039 av.=4.28 md=5.00 dev.=1.00
n=20092 av.=4.16 md=5.00 dev.=1.08

n=5053 av.=4.53 md=5.00 dev.=0.81
n=20146 av.=4.42 md=5.00 dev.=0.92

n=5031 av.=4.38 md=5.00 dev.=0.93
n=20097 av.=4.27 md=5.00 dev.=1.02

n=4806 av.=4.30 md=5.00 dev.=0.97
n=19267 av.=4.24 md=5.00 dev.=1.00

n=4996 av.=4.40 md=5.00 dev.=0.87
n=19924 av.=4.31 md=5.00 dev.=0.94

n=5010 av.=4.39 md=5.00 dev.=0.92
n=19975 av.=4.28 md=5.00 dev.=1.00

n=5039 av.=4.33 md=5.00 dev.=1.00
n=20091 av.=4.22 md=5.00 dev.=1.08

n=4994 av.=4.21 md=5.00 dev.=1.04
n=19825 av.=4.10 md=4.00 dev.=1.11

n=4994 av.=4.28 md=5.00 dev.=1.09
n=19951 av.=4.13 md=5.00 dev.=1.19

n=5037 av.=3.23 md=3.00 dev.=0.69
n=20165 av.=3.29 md=3.00 dev.=0.74

n=5049 av.=4.23 md=5.00 dev.=0.97
n=20157 av.=4.10 md=4.00 dev.=1.05