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Spring 2014 – Student Evaluation of Teaching

Overview

-The following are the value labels for the aggregated results pertaining to each question:

Value Labels

**Questions 1.1 - 1.11**

5 – Strongly Agree
4 – Agree
3 – Somewhat Agree
2 – Disagree
1 – Strongly Disagree

**Question 1.12 – Course Pace**

5 – Very Fast
4 – Fast
3 – Just about right
2 – Slow
1 – Very slow

**Question 1.13 – Professor’s Teaching**

5 – Excellent
4 – Good
3 – Fair
2 – Poor
1 – Very poor

For any questions related to the evaluations, please contact:

Steven W. Glogocheski
Associate Director of Academic Assessment
718-990-6998
glogochs@stjohns.edu
Student Evaluation of Teaching

The course goals and grading procedures were clear.

- Strongly Agree: 56%
- Strongly Disagree: 4%

Lectures and other class activities were well organized.

- Strongly Agree: 60%
- Strongly Disagree: 6%

The instructor's presentations were clear and understandable.

- Strongly Agree: 61%
- Strongly Disagree: 3%

The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter.

- Strongly Agree: 62%
- Strongly Disagree: 2%

The instructor satisfactorily answered students' questions.

- Strongly Agree: 61%
- Strongly Disagree: 4%

The instructor was accessible to students for extra help.

- Strongly Agree: 60%
- Strongly Disagree: 4%

The instructor responded to students' work in a reasonable amount of time.

- Strongly Agree: 61%
- Strongly Disagree: 4%

Tests, papers, and/or other assignments were graded fairly.

- Strongly Agree: 61%
- Strongly Disagree: 3%

This course increased my understanding of the subject matter.

- Strongly Agree: 63%
- Strongly Disagree: 4%
This course increased my ability to think critically.

![Bar chart showing responses to the statement]

I would recommend this instructor to other students.

![Bar chart showing responses to the statement]

Rate the pace at which the course material was taught.

![Bar chart showing responses to the statement]

Rate this professor's teaching.

![Bar chart showing responses to the statement]
### Student Evaluation of Teaching

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>av.</th>
<th>md</th>
<th>dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The course goals and grading procedures were clear.</td>
<td>n=108</td>
<td>n=108</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lectures and other class activities were well organized.</td>
<td>n=109</td>
<td>n=109</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor's presentations were clear and understandable.</td>
<td>n=109</td>
<td>n=109</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter.</td>
<td>n=109</td>
<td>n=109</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor satisfactorily answered students' questions.</td>
<td>n=108</td>
<td>n=108</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor was accessible to students for extra help.</td>
<td>n=102</td>
<td>n=102</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor responded to students' work in a reasonable amount of time.</td>
<td>n=104</td>
<td>n=104</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tests, papers, and/or other assignments were graded fairly.</td>
<td>n=103</td>
<td>n=103</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This course increased my understanding of the subject matter.</td>
<td>n=109</td>
<td>n=109</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This course increased my ability to think critically.</td>
<td>n=108</td>
<td>n=108</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would recommend this instructor to other students.</td>
<td>n=106</td>
<td>n=106</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate the pace at which the course material was taught.</td>
<td>n=109</td>
<td>n=109</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate this professor's teaching.</td>
<td>n=110</td>
<td>n=110</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Profile

Compilation: Spring 2014 - GR College of Pharmacy (53%)

Comparative line:
Compilation: Spring 2014 - GR St. John's University (55%)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

Student Evaluation of Teaching

The course goals and grading procedures were clear.

Lectures and other class activities were well organized.

The instructor's presentations were clear and understandable.

The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter.

The instructor satisfactorily answered students' questions.

The instructor was accessible to students for extra help.

The instructor responded to students' work in a reasonable amount of time.

Tests, papers, and/or other assignments were graded fairly.

This course increased my understanding of the subject matter.

This course increased my ability to think critically.

I would recommend this instructor to other students.

Rate the pace at which the course material was taught.

Rate this professor's teaching.