Office of Institutional Research

Fall 2013 - St. John's Student Course Evaluations

Overview:

-The report starts with a graph representing **overall indicators**, which are the average scores of various questions on the course evaluation.

-Section A: -Section B:	"Course Organization and Planning" "Communication"	(questions 1-3) (questions 4-6)
-Section C:	"Faculty-Student Interaction"	(questions 7-9)
-Section D:	"Assignments, Exams, and Grading"	(questions 10-14)
-Section E:	"Instructional Methods"	(questions 15-16)
-Section F:	"Course Outcomes"	(questions 17-19)
-Section G:	"Student Effort and Involvement"	(questions 20-22)
-Section H: -Section I:	"Course Difficulty, Work Load, and Pace" "Overall Evaluation"	(questions 23-25) (question 26)

-Each question is then individually assessed using the following scales. Appropriate comparative results are also shown. The percentages next to the name of the college/unit represent the response rate.

-The following are the value labels for the aggregated results pertaining to each question:

Value Labels

Questions 1-22:

- 5 = Strongly Agree
- 4 = Agree
- 3 = Somewhat Agree
- 2 = Disagree
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

Question 23 - Course Difficulty:

- 5 = Very Difficult
- 4 = Difficult
- 3 = About Right
- 2 = Easy
- 1 = Very Easy

Question 24 - Course Pace:

- 5 = Very Fast
- 4 = Fast
- 3 = Just about right
- 2 = Slow
- 1 =Very Slow

Question 25 - Study Hours:

- 5 = 8 or more hours
- 4 = 6 to 7
- 3 = 4 to 5
- 2 = 2 to 3
- 1 =Under 1 hour

Question 26 - Overall Evaluation:

- 5 = Excellent
- 4 = Good
- 3 = Fair
- 2 = Poor
- 1 =Very Poor

For any questions related to course evaluations, please contact:

Steven W. Glogocheski Associate Director of Academic Assessment 718-990-6998 glogochs@stjohns.edu

GR College of Business (59%)

No. of responses = 999



Overall indicators

A. Course Organization and Planning

B. Communication

C. Faculty-Student Interaction

D. Assignments, Exams, and Grading


E. Instructional Methods

F. Course Outcomes

G. Student Effort and Involvement

H. Course Difficulty, Work Load, and Pace


I. Overall Evaluation



Survey Results


A. Course Organization and Planning

1. The course goals, objectives, and grading procedures were clearly explained.




n=994 av.=4.48 dev.=0.85

Lectures and other class activities were well organized.



n=991 av.=4.43 dev.=0.9

3. The instructor was regularly punctual and regularly kept the class for the full class time.




n=991 av.=4.54 dev.=0.81

Strongly Disagree

B. Communication



14. Tests, papers, and other assignments were graded fairly.



Strongly Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Disagree

n=974 av.=4.54 dev.=0.82 ab.=15


E. Instructional Methods

15. The instructor made appropriate use of technology in teaching.



n=982 av.=4.56 dev.=0.8 ab.=4


16. Students were actively involved in class discussion, group work, and other classroom activities.



n=981 av.=4.42 dev.=0.89 ab.=2

F. Course Outcomes

17. This course has increased my understanding of the subject matter.




n=993 av.=4.42 dev.=0.96

18. This course increased my ability to think independently and critically.



n=990 av.=4.37 dev.=0.98 ab.=1


19. I would recommend this instructor to other students.



n=990 av.=4.34 dev.=1.05


G. Student Effort and Involvement

20. I attended class regularly.




n=990 av.=4.78 dev.=0.54

21. I read the assignments and was well prepared for every class.




n=989 av.=4.59 dev.=0.68

22. I spent a sufficient amount of time on assignments and research papers.



n=984 av.=4.64 dev.=0.65 ab.=2



Profile

Compilation:

GR College of Business (59%)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

A. Course Organization and Planning

- 1. The course goals, objectives, and grading procedures were clearly explained.
- 2. Lectures and other class activities were well organized.
- 3. The instructor was regularly punctual and regularly kept the class for the full class time.



av.=4.48 md=5.00 dev.=0.85

av.=4.43 md=5.00 dev.=0.90

av.=4.54 md=5.00 dev.=0.81

B. Communication

- 4. The instructor's presentations were clear and understandable
- 5. The instructor adequately explained abstract or complex materials so that I understood it.
- 6. The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter.




av.=4.41 md=5.00 dev.=0.94 n=989

n=987 av.=4.36 md=5.00 dev.=0.97

n=990 av.=4.48 md=5.00 dev.=0.86

C. Faculty-Student Interaction

- 7. The instructor encouraged student discussion and participation.
- 8. The instructor satisfactorily answered students' questions.
- 9. The instructor was accessible to students during office hours and by email.



av.=4.46 md=5.00 dev.=0.87

av.=4.48 md=5.00 dev.=0.87


n=992

n=961

av.=4.51 md=5.00 dev.=0.84

D. Assignments, Exams, and Grading

- 10. The instructor asked questions on the course
- 11. Students were tested on material covered in the course.
- 12. The instructor returned students' work in a reasonable amount of time.
- 13. The instructor provided sufficient feedback on tests and papers.
- 14. Tests, papers, and other assignments were graded fairly.



av.=4.52 md=5.00 dev.=0.81

av.=4.52 md=5.00 dev.=0.84

n=970 av.=4.58 md=5.00 dev.=0.74

av.=4.44 md=5.00 dev.=0.92 n=973

n=974 av.=4.54 md=5.00 dev.=0.82

E. Instructional Methods

- 15. The instructor made appropriate use of technology in teaching.
- 16. Students were actively involved in class discussion, group work, and other classroom



n=982 av.=4.56 md=5.00 dev.=0.80

av.=4.42 md=5.00 dev.=0.89 n=981

F. Course Outcomes

- 17. This course has increased my understanding of the subject matter.
- 18. This course increased my ability to think independently and critically.
- 19. I would recommend this instructor to other students.




n=993 av.=4.42 md=5.00 dev.=0.96

av.=4.37 md=5.00 dev.=0.98

av.=4.34 md=5.00 dev.=1.05 n=990

G. Student Effort and Involvement

- 20. I attended class regularly.
- 21. I read the assignments and was well prepared for every class.
- 22. I spent a sufficient amount of time on assignments and research papers.




n=990 av.=4.78 md=5.00 dev.=0.54

n=989 av.=4.59 md=5.00 dev.=0.68

n=984 av.=4.64 md=5.00 dev.=0.65

H. Course Difficulty, Work Load, and Pace

- 23. For my preparation and ability, this course was
- 24. For me, the pace at which the course materials were covered was
- 25. In a typical 7-day week, how many hours did you spend preparing for this course (studying, reading, doing homework or other academic



n=993 av.=3.35 md=3.00 dev.=0.78

n=990 av.=3.33 md=3.00 dev.=0.68

av.=3.12 md=3.00 dev.=1.08

n=993

I. Overall Evaluation

26. Rate the quality of this course as it contributed to your learning:



av.=4.18 md=4.00 dev.=0.96

K. Student Information

33. What grade do you expect for this course?




n=992 av.=7.30 md=8.00 dev.=1.11

Profile

Compilation: GR College of Business (59%)

- A. Course Organization and Planning
- B. Communication
- C. Faculty-Student Interaction
- D. Assignments, Exams, and Grading
- E. Instructional Methods
- F. Course Outcomes
- G. Student Effort and Involvement
- H. Course Difficulty, Work Load, and Pace
- I. Overall Evaluation



av.=4.48	dev.=0.85
av.=4.42	dev.=0.92
av.=4.48	dev.=0.86
av.=4.52	dev.=0.82
av.=4.49	dev.=0.85
av.=4.38	dev.=0.99
av.=4.67	dev.=0.62
av.=3.27	dev.=0.85
av.=4.18	dev.=0.96

Profile

GR College of Business (59%)

Comparative line:

Compilation:

Compilation: GR St. John's University (53%)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

A. Course Organization and Planning

- 1. The course goals, objectives, and grading procedures were clearly explained.
- 2. Lectures and other class activities were well organized.
- 3. The instructor was regularly punctual and regularly kept the class for the full class time.



n=994 av.=4.48 md=5.00 dev.=0.85 n=3330 av.=4.36 md=5.00 dev.=0.94

n=991 av.=4.43 md=5.00 dev.=0.90 n=3310 av.=4.28 md=5.00 dev.=0.99

n=991 av.=4.54 md=5.00 dev.=0.81 n=3312 av.=4.54 md=5.00 dev.=0.79

B. Communication

- 4. The instructor's presentations were clear and understandable.
- 5. The instructor adequately explained abstract or complex materials so that I understood it.
- 6. The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter.



n=989 av.=4.41 md=5.00 dev.=0.94 n=3301 av.=4.32 md=5.00 dev.=0.97

n=987 av.=4.36 md=5.00 dev.=0.97 n=3298 av.=4.29 md=5.00 dev.=0.99

n=990 av.=4.48 md=5.00 dev.=0.86 n=3304 av.=4.52 md=5.00 dev.=0.84

C. Faculty-Student Interaction

- 7. The instructor encouraged student discussion and participation.
- 8. The instructor satisfactorily answered students' questions.
- 9. The instructor was accessible to students during office hours and by email.




n=991 av.=4.46 md=5.00 dev.=0.87 n=3308 av.=4.46 md=5.00 dev.=0.88

n=992 av.=4.48 md=5.00 dev.=0.87 n=3320 av.=4.38 md=5.00 dev.=0.95

n=961 av.=4.51 md=5.00 dev.=0.84 n=3212 av.=4.46 md=5.00 dev.=0.86

D. Assignments, Exams, and Grading

- 10. The instructor asked questions on the course material.
- 11. Students were tested on material covered in the course.
- 12. The instructor returned students' work in a reasonable amount of time.
- 13. The instructor provided sufficient feedback on tests and papers.
- 14. Tests, papers, and other assignments were graded fairly.



n=987 av.=4.52 md=5.00 dev.=0.81 n=3200 av.=4.48 md=5.00 dev.=0.83

n=984 av.=4.52 md=5.00 dev.=0.84 n=3009 av.=4.45 md=5.00 dev.=0.86

n=970 av.=4.58 md=5.00 dev.=0.74 n=3164 av.=4.46 md=5.00 dev.=0.88

n=973 av.=4.44 md=5.00 dev.=0.92 n=3158 av.=4.36 md=5.00 dev.=0.97

n=974 av.=4.54 md=5.00 dev.=0.82 n=3120 av.=4.46 md=5.00 dev.=0.86

E. Instructional Methods

- 15. The instructor made appropriate use of technology in teaching.
- 16. Students were actively involved in class discussion, group work, and other classroom activities.




n=982 av.=4.56 md=5.00 dev.=0.80 n=3147 av.=4.45 md=5.00 dev.=0.90

n=981 av.=4.42 md=5.00 dev.=0.89 n=3247 av.=4.42 md=5.00 dev.=0.89

F. Course Outcomes

- 17. This course has increased my understanding of the subject matter.
- 18. This course increased my ability to think independently and critically.
- 19. I would recommend this instructor to other students.




n=993 av.=4.42 md=5.00 dev.=0.96 n=3323 av.=4.33 md=5.00 dev.=1.02

n=990 av.=4.37 md=5.00 dev.=0.98 n=3306 av.=4.29 md=5.00 dev.=1.03

n=990 av.=4.34 md=5.00 dev.=1.05 n=3305 av.=4.26 md=5.00 dev.=1.12

G. Student Effort and Involvement

- 20. I attended class regularly.
- 21. I read the assignments and was well prepared for every class.
- 22. I spent a sufficient amount of time on assignments and research papers.




n=990 av.=4.78 md=5.00 dev.=0.54 n=3309 av.=4.77 md=5.00 dev.=0.55

n=989 av.=4.59 md=5.00 dev.=0.68 n=3269 av.=4.53 md=5.00 dev.=0.73

n=984 av.=4.64 md=5.00 dev.=0.65 n=3254 av.=4.60 md=5.00 dev.=0.69

H. Course Difficulty, Work Load, and Pace

- ${\bf 23}.$ For my preparation and ability, this course was
- 24. For me, the pace at which the course materials were covered was
- 25. In a typical 7-day week, how many hours did you spend preparing for this course (studying, reading, doing homework or other academic



n=993 av.=3.35 md=3.00 dev.=0.78 n=3322 av.=3.19 md=3.00 dev.=0.76

n=990 av.=3.33 md=3.00 dev.=0.68 n=3318 av.=3.18 md=3.00 dev.=0.65

n=993 av.=3.12 md=3.00 dev.=1.08 n=3319 av.=2.92 md=3.00 dev.=1.11

I. Overall Evaluation

26. Rate the quality of this course as it contributed to your learning:



n=992 av.=4.18 md=4.00 dev.=0.96 n=3313 av.=4.16 md=4.00 dev.=1.01

K. Student Information

33. What grade do you expect for this course?



n=992 av.=7.30 md=8.00 dev.=1.11 n=3294 av.=7.31 md=8.00 dev.=1.04