#### Office of Institutional Research

### **Spring 2014 – Student Evaluation of Teaching**

### **Overview**

-The following are the value labels for the aggregated results pertaining to each question:

### **Value Labels**

### **Questions 1.1 - 1.11**

- 5 Strongly Agree
- 4 Agree
- 3 Somewhat Agree
- 2 Disagree
- 1 Strongly Disagree

## **Question 1.12 - Course Pace**

- 5 Very Fast
- 4 Fast
- 3 Just about right
- 2 Slow
- 1 Very slow

### **Question 1.13 – Professor's Teaching**

- 5 Excellent
- 4 **–** Good
- 3 Fair
- 2 Poor
- 1 Very poor

For any questions related to the evaluations, please contact:


Steven W. Glogocheski Associate Director of Academic Assessment 718-990-6998 glogochs@stjohns.edu

# Spring 2014 - GR Distance Learning (51%)

No. of responses = 509



### Survey Results Student Evaluation of Teaching The course goals and grading procedures were clear. Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree av.=4.12 dev.=1.21 ab.=2 Learning activities were well organized. n=501 Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree av.=4.07 dev.=1.21 ab.=7 n=460 av.=4.08 dev.=1.23 The instructor's presentations were clear and Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree understandable. The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in n=470 av.=4.16 dev.=1.13 ab.=38 Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree the subject matter. n=487 av.=4.05 dev.=1.25 ab.=17 The instructor satisfactorily answered students' Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree questions. n=474 av.=4.1 dev.=1.18 The instructor was accessible to students for extra Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree help. The instructor responded to students' work in a n=498 Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree reasonable amount of time. Tests, papers, and/or other assignments were graded n=480 Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree av.=4.2 dev.=1.09 ab.=21 fairly. This course increased my understanding of the Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree av.=4.2 dev.=1.14 subject matter.



# Profile


\_\_\_\_\_

Compilation:

Spring 2014 - GR Distance Learning (51%)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

#### Student Evaluation of Teaching



## **Profile**

\_\_\_\_\_

Compilation: Spring 2014 - GR Distance Learning (51%)

Comparative line:

Compilation: Spring 2014 - UG Distance Learning (37%)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

#### Student Evaluation of Teaching

The course goals and grading procedures were clear.

Learning activities were well organized.

The instructor's presentations were clear and understandable.

The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter.

The instructor satisfactorily answered students' questions.

The instructor was accessible to students for extra help.

The instructor responded to students' work in a reasonable amount of time.

Tests, papers, and/or other assignments were graded fairly.


This course increased my understanding of the subject matter.

This course increased my ability to think critically.

I would recommend this instructor to other students.

Rate the pace at which the course material was taught.

Rate this professor's teaching.



n=506 av.=4.12 md=5.00 dev.=1.21 n=1249 av.=4.23 md=5.00 dev.=1.03

n=501 av.=4.07 md=5.00 dev.=1.04

n=460 av.=4.08 md=5.00 dev.=1.23 av.=4.16 md=5.00 dev.=1.07

n=470 av.=4.16 md=5.00 dev.=1.03

n=487 av.=4.26 md=5.00 dev.=1.02

n=487 av.=4.26 md=5.00 dev.=1.13 av.=4.15 md=5.00 dev.=1.11

n=474 av.=4.15 md=5.00 dev.=1.11

n=474 av.=4.10 md=5.00 dev.=1.18 av.=4.17 md=5.00 dev.=1.09

n=498 av.=4.02 md=5.00 dev.=1.09

n=480 av.=4.19 md=5.00 dev.=1.09

n=1228 av.=4.20 md=5.00 dev.=1.06

n=501 av.=4.20 md=5.00 dev.=1.06

n=501 av.=4.20 md=5.00 dev.=1.06

n=490 av.=4.10 md=5.00 dev.=1.10

n=490 av.=4.11 md=5.00 dev.=1.10

n=490 av.=4.10 md=5.00 dev.=1.22

n=499 av.=4.01 md=5.00 dev.=1.29

n=1224 av.=4.01 md=5.00 dev.=0.68

n=500 av.=3.99 md=4.00 dev.=0.68

n=500 av.=3.99 md=4.00 dev.=1.09