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Fall 2014 – Student Evaluation of Teaching

Overview

-The following are the value labels for the aggregated results pertaining to each question:

Value Labels

**Questions 1.1 - 1.11**

5 – Strongly Agree
4 – Agree
3 – Somewhat Agree
2 – Disagree
1 – Strongly Disagree

**Question 1.12 – Course Pace**

5 – Very Fast
4 – Fast
3 – Just about right
2 – Slow
1 – Very slow

**Question 1.13 – Professor’s Teaching**

5 – Excellent
4 – Good
3 – Fair
2 – Poor
1 – Very poor

For any questions related to the evaluations, please contact:

Steven W. Glogocheski
Associate Director of Academic Assessment
718-990-6998
glogochs@stjohns.edu
Survey Results

Student Evaluation of Teaching

The course goals and grading procedures were clear.

Learning activities were well organized.

The instructor's presentations were clear and understandable.

The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter.

The instructor satisfactorily answered students' questions.

The instructor was accessible to students for extra help.

The instructor responded to students' work in a reasonable amount of time.

Tests, papers, and/or other assignments were graded fairly.

This course increased my understanding of the subject matter.
This course increased my ability to think critically.

Strongly Agree | Strongly Disagree
---|---
69% | 5% 17% 2% 6%

I would recommend this instructor to other students.

Strongly Agree | Strongly Disagree
---|---
52% | 15% 22% 6% 2%

Rate the pace at which the course material was taught.

Very fast | Very slow
---|---
6% | 1% 27% 41%

Rate this professor's teaching.

Excellent | Very poor
---|---
49% | 2% 30% 6% 4%

av.=4.09  
dev.=1.12  
ab.=23

av.=4.03  
dev.=1.22  
ab.=13

av.=3.37  
dev.=0.75

av.=3.96  
dev.=1.1
Profile

Compilation: Fall 2014 - UG Distance Learning (44%)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

---

Student Evaluation of Teaching

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The course goals and grading procedures were clear.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning activities were well organized.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor's presentations were clear and understandable.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor satisfactorily answered students' questions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor was accessible to students for extra help.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor responded to students' work in a reasonable amount of time.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tests, papers, and/or other assignments were graded fairly.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This course increased my understanding of the subject matter.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This course increased my ability to think critically.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would recommend this instructor to other students.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate the pace at which the course material was taught.</td>
<td>Very fast</td>
<td>Very slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate this professor's teaching.</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Very poor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n=1331 av.=4.20 md=5.00 dev.=1.03
n=1316 av.=4.18 md=5.00 dev.=1.06
n=1231 av.=4.12 md=4.00 dev.=1.09
n=1238 av.=4.21 md=5.00 dev.=1.06
n=1292 av.=4.13 md=5.00 dev.=1.15
n=1248 av.=4.14 md=5.00 dev.=1.11
n=1320 av.=4.12 md=5.00 dev.=1.16
n=1315 av.=4.17 md=5.00 dev.=1.07
n=1327 av.=4.15 md=4.00 dev.=1.09
n=1311 av.=4.09 md=4.00 dev.=1.12
n=1303 av.=4.03 md=5.00 dev.=1.22
n=1317 av.=3.37 md=3.00 dev=0.75
n=1323 av.=3.96 md=4.00 dev=1.10

01/12/2015 Class Climate evaluation
### Student Evaluation of Teaching

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Strongly Agree (n=1331, av.=4.20, md=5.00, dev.=1.03)</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree (n=544, av.=4.23, md=5.00, dev.=1.05)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The course goals and grading procedures were clear.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning activities were well organized.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor's presentations were clear and understandable.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor satisfactorily answered students' questions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor was accessible to students for extra help.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor responded to students' work in a reasonable amount of time.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tests, papers, and/or other assignments were graded fairly.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This course increased my understanding of the subject matter.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This course increased my ability to think critically.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would recommend this instructor to other students.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate the pace at which the course material was taught.</td>
<td>Very fast (n=1317, av.=3.37, md=3.00, dev.=0.75)</td>
<td>Very slow (n=551, av.=3.32, md=3.00, dev.=0.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate this professor's teaching.</td>
<td>Excellent (n=1323, av.=3.96, md=4.00, dev.=1.10)</td>
<td>Very poor (n=545, av.=3.03, md=4.00, dev.=1.08)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>