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Overview

The following are the value labels for the aggregated results pertaining to each question:

Value Labels

Questions 1.1 - 1.11

5 – Strongly Agree
4 – Agree
3 – Somewhat Agree
2 – Disagree
1 – Strongly Disagree

Question 1.12 – Course Pace

5 – Very Fast
4 – Fast
3 – Just about right
2 – Slow
1 – Very slow

Question 1.13 – Professor’s Teaching

5 – Excellent
4 – Good
3 – Fair
2 – Poor
1 – Very poor

For any questions related to the evaluations, please contact:

Steven W. Glogocheski
Associate Director of Academic Assessment
718-990-6998
glogochs@stjohns.edu
**Student Evaluation of Teaching**

- **The course goals and grading procedures were clear.**
  - Strongly Agree: 65%, Strongly Disagree: 27%
  - Aver. = 4.27, Dev. = 1.09

- **Lectures and other class activities were well organized.**
  - Strongly Agree: 67%, Strongly Disagree: 23%
  - Aver. = 4.27, Dev. = 1.05, Ab. = 1

- **The instructor's presentations were clear and understandable.**
  - Strongly Agree: 65%, Strongly Disagree: 27%
  - Aver. = 4.4, Dev. = 0.97

- **The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter.**
  - Strongly Agree: 66%, Strongly Disagree: 26%
  - Aver. = 4.48, Dev. = 0.85

- **The instructor satisfactorily answered students' questions.**
  - Strongly Agree: 65%, Strongly Disagree: 27%
  - Aver. = 4.41, Dev. = 0.9, Ab. = 1

- **The instructor was accessible to students for extra help.**
  - Strongly Agree: 65%, Strongly Disagree: 27%
  - Aver. = 4.48, Dev. = 0.66, Ab. = 3

- **The instructor responded to students' work in a reasonable amount of time.**
  - Strongly Agree: 65%, Strongly Disagree: 27%
  - Aver. = 4.32, Dev. = 1.05, Ab. = 2

- **Tests, papers, and/or other assignments were graded fairly.**
  - Strongly Agree: 65%, Strongly Disagree: 27%
  - Aver. = 4.3, Dev. = 1.02, Ab. = 4

- **This course increased my understanding of the subject matter.**
  - Strongly Agree: 65%, Strongly Disagree: 27%
  - Aver. = 4.34, Dev. = 1.01
This course increased my ability to think critically.

I would recommend this instructor to other students.

Rate the pace at which the course material was taught.

Rate this professor's teaching.
### Student Evaluation of Teaching

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The course goals and grading procedures were clear.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lectures and other class activities were well organized.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor's presentations were clear and understandable.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor satisfactorily answered students' questions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor was accessible to students for extra help.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor responded to students' work in a reasonable amount of time.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tests, papers, and/or other assignments were graded fairly.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This course increased my understanding of the subject matter.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This course increased my ability to think critically.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would recommend this instructor to other students.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate the pace at which the course material was taught.</td>
<td>Very fast</td>
<td>Very slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate this professor's teaching.</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Very poor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Profile

Compilation: Spring 2014 - GR College of Professional Studies (42%)

Comparative line:
Compilation: Spring 2014 - GR St. John's University (55%)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

---

Student Evaluation of Teaching

The course goals and grading procedures were clear.
n=186 av.=4.27 md=5.00 dev.=1.09
n=3160 av.=4.30 md=5.00 dev.=0.96

Lectures and other class activities were well organized.
n=185 av.=4.27 md=5.00 dev.=1.05
n=3150 av.=4.24 md=5.00 dev.=1.00

The instructor's presentations were clear and understandable.
n=186 av.=4.30 md=5.00 dev.=0.97
n=3136 av.=4.29 md=5.00 dev.=0.97

The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter.
n=186 av.=4.48 md=5.00 dev.=0.85
n=3160 av.=4.50 md=5.00 dev.=0.81

The instructor satisfactorily answered students' questions.
n=184 av.=4.41 md=5.00 dev.=0.90
n=3161 av.=4.43 md=5.00 dev.=0.90

The instructor was accessible to students for extra help.
n=183 av.=4.48 md=5.00 dev.=0.86
n=3160 av.=4.44 md=5.00 dev.=0.90

The instructor responded to students' work in a reasonable amount of time.
n=184 av.=4.32 md=5.00 dev.=1.05
n=3085 av.=4.36 md=5.00 dev.=0.95

Tests, papers, and/or other assignments were graded fairly.
n=181 av.=4.30 md=5.00 dev.=1.02
n=2980 av.=4.41 md=5.00 dev.=0.90

This course increased my understanding of the subject matter.
n=185 av.=4.34 md=5.00 dev.=1.01
n=3155 av.=4.36 md=5.00 dev.=0.96

This course increased my ability to think critically.
n=186 av.=4.32 md=5.00 dev.=1.06
n=3154 av.=4.29 md=5.00 dev.=0.99

I would recommend this instructor to other students.
n=183 av.=4.38 md=5.00 dev.=0.99
n=3136 av.=4.28 md=5.00 dev.=1.06

Rate the pace at which the course material was taught.
n=183 av.=3.26 md=3.00 dev.=0.72
n=3161 av.=3.29 md=3.00 dev.=0.77

Rate this professor's teaching.
n=185 av.=4.30 md=5.00 dev.=0.99
n=3163 av.=4.29 md=5.00 dev.=0.94